09/530,122

April 20, 2000

Hiroki Nakahara

NOV 0 2 2005

TRANSMITTAL

FORM

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number

First Named Inventor

Filing Date

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Ап	t Unit	2871	2871			
			Ex	aminer Name	QI, Zh	QI, Zhi Qiang			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Att	orney Docket Number	93198	9319S-000126			
		ENCLO	SUR	ES (check all that apply)					
☐ Fee Transmittal Form ☐ Dr.			g(s)			After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)			
☐ Fee Attached ☐			ng-re	elated Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Rep	bly	Petition				Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Pro	Proprietary Information			
Affidavits/decl	laration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address				Status Letter			
Extension of Time	e Request	Terminal Disclaimer				Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Express Abandon	_		Refund r of CD(s)		Issue Fee Transmittal; Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; return post card				
Certified Copy of I	Remar	rks		<u> </u>					
Response to Miss Incomplete Applic									
Response to I Parts under 3 1.52 or 1.53									
	SIGNA	TURE OF A	\PP	LICANT, ATTORNEY,	OR AGEN	IT			
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.			Attorney Name G. Gregory Schivley		Reg. No. 27,382			
Signature		Ŋ.	. /	huge / bles	ven				
Date	November 2, 2005	//		001	0)			
	С	ERTIFICAT	ſE O	F TRANSMISSION/MA	ILING				
I hereby certify that the Service with sufficient Alexandria, VA 22313	nt postage as first of	lass mail in	imile an	transmitted to the USPTO envelope addressed to:	or depos Commissio	ited with the United States Postal ner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,			
Typed or printed name G. Gregory Schwey				11/	Express Mail Label No.	EV 717 344 684 US (11/2/2005)			
Signature	//.	/hugh	L	More	Date	November 2, 2005			
process) an application. Co preparing, and submitting t you require to complete this	onfidentiality is governed in the completed application is form and/or suggestions erce, P.Ø. Box 1460, Alex	by 35/U.S.C. /2 form to the USF s for reducing the candria, VA 223	22 and PTO. nis bur 113-14	I 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is: Time will vary depending upon the den, should be sent to the Chief ISO. DO NOT SEND FEES OR C	estimated to le individual of Information (public which is to file (and by the USPTO to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, asse. Any comments on the amount of time Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:			

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/17 (12-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

0,, -	43
NOV 0 2 7	AL S
AND THANKS	ST CE

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005

Effective 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$)	
-----------------------	------	--

1415

Complete if Known					
Application Number	09/530,122				
Filing Date	April 20, 2000				
First Named Inventor	Hiroki Nakahara				
Examiner Name	QI, Zhi Qiang				
Art Unit	2871				
Attorney Docket No.	9319S-000126				

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)				FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other ☐ None				3. ADDITIONAL FEES							
Order						Entity		II Entity			
☐ Deposit Account:			Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee De	scription	Fee Paid		
Deposit				1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late	filing fee or oath		
Account 50-3213 Number				1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late or cover sheet.	provisional filing fee		
Donosit	Dancoit					130	1053	130	Non-English spe	ecification	
Deposit Account Epson R & D				1812	2,520	1812	2,520	= :	est for reexamination		
Name .	therized to veheck	all that are			1804	920*	1804	920*	Examiner action		
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Credit any overpayments ☐ Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application					1805	1,840*	1805	1,840°	Examiner action		
	ndicated below, exce				1251	120	2251	60		ply within first month	
	fied deposit account				1252	450	2252	225	Extension for rep month	ply within second	
	FEE CALCU	LATION			1253	1020	2253	510	Extension for rep	ply within third month	
	LING FEE Small Entity				1254	1,590	2254	795	Extension for rep month		
		Description			1255	2,160	2255	1080	Extension for rep	ply within fifth month	
	ode (\$)		Fee Paid	_	1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appea	I	
1	•	filing fee		_	1402	500	2402	250	_	support of an appeal	
	•	gn filing fee		4	1403	1000	2403	500	Request for oral	•	
		filing fee		4	1452 1453	500 1500	2452 2453	250 750	Petition to revive		
		sue filing fee sional filling f		-	1501	1400	2501	700	Utility issue fee		1400
. 1000 200 1 20	303 100 11041	Stories talling t		J	1502	800	2502	400	Design issue fee	1400	
	SUBTOTAL (1)		(\$) 0]	1460	130	1460	130	•	Petitions to the Commissioner	
O EVERA OLARA FEED FOR LITHURY AND RESOUR				1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee u	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q)		
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Extra Fee from Fee Claims below Paid			1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt				
Total Claims	-20 ** = 0	∃ ×	= 0		8021	40	8021	40	Recording each per property (time properties)	patent assignment nes number of	
Claims	-3** = 0	×	= 0	_	1809	790	2809	395	Filing a submiss	ion after final rejection	
Multiple Dependent = 0				1810	790	2810	395	(37 CFR § 1.129(a)) For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))			
Large Entity Fee Fee	Small Entity Fee Fee	Fee Descript	ion		1801	790	2801	395	•	inued Examination	
Code (\$) 1202 50	Code (\$) -	Claims in exc			Other fo	ee (speci	F/\ #8001	natent (, ,		15
1201 200			laims in excess of 3			٠.				OTAL (3) (\$\)141	⊥
1203 360		•	ndent claim, if not pai	ď	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)1415 4. SEARCH/EXAMINATION FEES						<u>-</u>
1204 200			lependent claims over	r	1111	500 l	2111	250	Utility Search Fe	.	
\triangle		original paten	t ilms in excess of 20 a	nd	1112	100	2112	50	Design Search F		
1205 50		ver original p		IIIu	1113	300	2113	150	Plant Search Fe		
		Г		1	1114	500	2114	250	Reissue Search	Fee	
	SUBTO	TAL (2)	(\$) 0		1311	200	2311	100	Utility Examinati	on Fee	
		_		•	1312	130	2312	65	Design Examina	ition Fee	
					1313	160	2313	80	Plant Examination Fee		
**or number any involvening if greater For Pointing and the					1314	1314 600 2314 300 Reissue Examination Fee				┸╌┤╽	
"or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above SUBTOTAL (4) (\$)0							2101AE (4) (4)0				
SUBMITTED BY			/			7			Com	plete (if applicable)	
Name (Print/Type) G. Gregory Schivley (Attorney/Agent)				1./	27,382 Telephone 248 641 1600						
Signature		/J./h	15m//	h	mes	2		Date November 2, 2005			
	WARNING: Infe	1	4.1. //			~ L			on should not l		





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/530,122

Filing Date:

April 20, 2000

Applicant:

Hiroki NAKAHARA

Group Art Unit:

2871

Examiner:

Zhi Qiang Qi

Title:

LIQUID CRYSTAL PANEL AND METHOD OF

FABRICATING THE SAME

Attorney Docket:

9319S-000126

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole makes clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted, especially since the statement may unfairly focus on certain reasons for allowance which are not reflected by the prosecution history. Therefore, the record should reflect that Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. For example, while Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant may not unequivocally agree that patentability resides

solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the reasons for allowance do not separately address the subject matter of all the claims, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that the non-addressed claims fail to present other reasons for patentability apart from the patentability of the claims which were specifically addressed by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Nov 2, 2005

By:

G/Gregory Schillev

Bryant E. Wade

Reg. No. 40,344

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

GGS/BEW/jmz